

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/475,385	12/30/1999	RANJAN GHOSAL	3600-011-01	6269
7	590 12/19/2002			
MARTHA ANN FINNEGAN ESQ			EXAMINER	
CABOT CORPORATION 157 CONCORD ROAD			LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M	
BILLERICA, N	MA 01821		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724 DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t .	۷,				
• •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/475,385	GHOSAL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Frank M. Lawrence	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply lf NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 N	lovember 2002 .				
2a) This action is FINAL 2b) This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(c) 10.35 and 30.54 in/ora panding in the	and the transfer				
4) Claim(s) <u>19-25 and 39-51</u> is/are pending in the	• •				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) <u>51</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-25 and 39-50</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has been rece	eived.			
Attachment(s)	p	WITH VI 141.			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 16.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/475,385 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 25, 2002 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 47 and 49 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 47 are duplicates and both depend from independent claim 40. One should be canceled. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 40 and its dependents are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 40 is indefinite because it recites that the organic group comprises "an aromatic group or a C1-C12 alkyl group," then recites that the "organic group is an aromatic group," which would exclude most of the C1-C12 alkyl group members

Art Unit: 1724

such as methyl and ethyl. The claim should be amended to recite that the organic group comprises only an aromatic group.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 6. Claims 19, 22 and 39-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by the published international application WO 96/18456 (WO '456; abstract; page 4, lines 7-25; page 5, lines 2-34; page 6, lines 8-19; page 7, lines 3-19; page 9, lines 29-31) or under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boes et al. (5,807,494; abstract; col. 3, lines 36-65; col. 4, lines 20-59; col. 5, line 14 to col. 6, line 20; col. 8, lines 6-8).
- 7. Either one of WO '456 or Boes et al. ('494) teach a modified carbon black that can be used as a liquid, gas or vapor adsorbent, comprising a carbon black having at least one organic group directly attached, wherein the organic group can be an aromatic group or a C1-C12 alkyl

Application/Control Number: 09/475,385

Art Unit: 1724

group, such as –COOH, a substituted sulfophenyl group, polysulfo phenyl group, or their salts. The aromatic group may be further substituted and the C1-C12 alkyl group can have an acidic group having a pKa of less than 11. The carbon black may be pelletized and have increased water dispersibility (hydrophilic). When more than one organic group is attached, they will be inherently attached to different portions of the carbon black. The method of adsorption by contacting an adsorbate with the adsorbent is an inherent characteristic of a material that is used in adsorption.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claims 20, 21 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Boes et al. ('494) in view of Golden et al. ('548; abstract; col. 8, lines 26-63).

Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(1)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Boes et al. ('494) disclose all of the limitations of the claims except that the organic group salts are Na, Li, K or NH2 and that the adsorbate is polar, such as water. Golden et al. ('548) disclose a carbon molecular sieve having a surface that is modified by impregnation to make it hydrophilic for adsorbing water. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a hydrophilically modified carbon material to adsorb water in order to provide an adsorbent that is abundant and inexpensive to separate water vapor molecules from an air stream as well as other pollutants that are typically adsorbed,

Art Unit: 1724

eliminating the need for a separate desiccant such as silica gel. It would have also been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the generic salts recited in the Boes et al. ('494) patent would most commonly be Na, Li, K or NH2 salts of the organic groups, and to use those salts that would be the most common and abundant rather than using rare and expensive salts.

Page 6

12. Claims 20, 21 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO '456 for the same reasons discussed in paragraph 11 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 13. Claim 51 is allowed.
- 14. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: A method to adsorb an adsorbate comprising contacting the adsorbate with a modified carbonaceous material capable of adsorbing the adsorbate wherein the material comprises at least one organic group attached to the material, wherein the organic group comprises an aromatic group or a C1-C13 alkyl group directly attached to the material, with the proviso that the material is not activated carbon, and wherein the material is obtained by the pyrolysis of fuel oil or polymeric precursors, is not taught, disclosed or suggested in a single reference or a combination of references in the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 09/475,385

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Lawrence whose telephone number is 703-305-0585. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-5:00; alternate Fridays 7:00-4:00.

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Simmons can be reached on 703-308-1972. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Frank Lawrence

Patent Examiner

fl

December 18, 2002